

High School Mock Trial 2019
State of Buckeye V. Quinn Woolf
Errata Sheet

Please note:

The errata sheet serves to clarify or correct errors in the Mock Trial case and/or rules, and does not address team strategy, coaching, or judging protocol. If a question received does not meet the criteria for Errata (e.g. pertains to an evidence question), an email response will be sent to the individual advisor.

Errata 10/16/2018

- 1. The city contract (Exhibit B) seems incomplete with an unfinished sentence at the end. Is this intentional?**

Exhibit B is only an excerpt from a multi-page contract. The portion included in the case file contains all relevant information

Errata 10/2/2018

- 1. The case packet says the prosecution has the burden of proof, but then the procedural rules state the defense will present first. Which is correct?**

Prosecution will present first. There is an error in procedural rule 10.a., 12.a., 12.b., and 14.a (pg. 21-23). Prosecution will present opening arguments first, call witnesses first, and present closing arguments first. Counsel for the Prosecution will have time for a two-minute rebuttal after Defense's closing argument. There is also an error in the "Timekeeping Sheet" in the case file on page 137. Included in this document, you will find a corrected "Timekeeping Sheet" which accurately reflects that Prosecution will present first.

- 2. In different places, the case mentions both Northridge Police and Buckeye Police as the investigative body. Which is correct?**

In both the defense and prosecution briefs, there are errors in reference to the police department. Harmony Police, the department where Office Jordan Miller is employed, is the investigative body responsible for the search in question. This case takes place in the *state* of Buckeye, the *county* of Buckeye, and the *city* of Harmony. Specifically, refer to the following list for corrections:

- On page 47, the order should read "through or as a result of *Harmony Police's* alleged unlawful search."
- In Defense's motion on page 48, Buckeye Police is referenced twice. This should be changed to Harmony Police.
- Prosecution's brief incorrectly refers to the Northridge Police Department twice on page 59. Both instances should refer to the Harmony Police Department.

3. The memoranda in support have inconsistencies in regards to who contracted with Omniscient Technologies to survey the farmland.

The contract with Omniscient for the land survey was entered into by the City of Harmony. Refer to the following list for corrections:

- On the bottom of page 49, the last paragraph incorrectly refers to a contract between Eli and Buckeye. This should be referred to as a contract between Eli and Harmony.
- The first full paragraph on page 52 should begin, “Here, it is clear from the relationship between Omniscient and *the state* that...the acts of Eli Moss and Omniscient were actually the acts of *the state*. To be sure, Omniscient entered into a contract with *Harmony*.”
- There is an error in the final paragraph on page 61. The last sentence should read, “There is no evidence of a symbiotic relationship between Omniscient Technologies and *Harmony*...”

Errata 9/24/2018

- 1. Due to a printing error, the student manuals (bound copies) of the case have improperly formatted exhibits. All shipped orders of the student manuals contain correctly formatted exhibits in a stapled pack in the front of the book.**

This printing error *DOES NOT* impact the teacher copies (in red folders) nor the digital copies.

Ohio High School Mock Trial Competition

Timekeeping Sheet

Prosecution Team _____ Defense Team _____ Trial

Opening Statements (4 minutes each)

Prosecution _____
Defense _____

Direct/Redirect Examination of Two Prosecution Witnesses (20 total minutes)

FIRST WITNESS (ending time) _____
SECOND WITNESS (cumulative ending time) >20 = time violation _____

Cross/Recross Examination of Two Prosecution Witnesses (18 total minutes)

FIRST WITNESS (ending time) _____
SECOND WITNESS (cumulative ending time) >18 = time violation _____

Direct/Redirect Examination of Two Defense Witnesses (20 total minutes)

FIRST WITNESS (ending time) _____
SECOND WITNESS (cumulative ending time) >20 = time violation _____

Cross/Recross Examination of Two Defense Witnesses (18 total minutes)

FIRST WITNESS (ending time) _____
SECOND WITNESS (cumulative ending time) >18 = time violation _____

Closing Arguments (5 minutes each)

Prosecution _____
Defense _____

Rebuttal (optional) (2 minutes)

Prosecution _____

REMEMBER: CLOCK STOPS FOR OBJECTIONS!

TIMEKEEPER'S SIGNATURE
